



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,328	09/18/2003	Patrick S. Dougherty	10013566-4	8382	
7590 08/31/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ROYER, WILLIAM J		
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2852		

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/666,328	DOUGHERTY, PATR	ICK S.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		William J. Royer	2852	
TI Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (i - If the period - If NO period - Failure to i Any reply i	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star- received by the Office later than three months after the ma- tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 14 s action is FINAL . 2b) To the cethis application is in condition for allow sed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters		erits is
Disposition (of Claims			
4a) 5)∐ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)∐ Cla	im(s) 21-44 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withd im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 21-44 is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application I	Papers			
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Exami drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> in dicant may not request that any objection to the lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correspond of the order of the declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square one drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) <u></u> A 1.⊑ 2.⊑ 3.⊑	Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of F 2) Notice of C 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 S)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-15;	2)

Art Unit: 2852

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,681,089 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the features claimed in the current application were claimed in the patent. US 6,681,089 B2 discloses all the features claimed in the current application except for functional limitations such as "to reduce charges associated with said photoconductor drum" and "bleed off static charge associated with said selected component". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that since the current application has exactly the same elements and steps as claimed in the patent, the claimed functional limitations would also be capable of being performed by the claims of the patent. It is noted that a

Art Unit: 2852

reference is to be considered not only for what it expressly states, but also for what it would reasonably have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re DeLisle*, 160 USPQ 806 (CCPA 1969).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established in the double patenting rejection is not convincing. The previous double patenting rejection clearly stated the examiner's position in regards to double patenting. However, in order to more plainly state the same position taken previously by the examiner, the double patenting rejection has been rewritten to even more specifically establish the examiner's rationale for the double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2852

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Relevant Prior Art

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mizutani et al disclose providing various image forming components in a process cartridge and the type of image forming components that may be included in the process cartridge.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William J. Royer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur T. Grimley can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,328 Page 5

Art Unit: 2852

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William J. Royer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852

William J. Royer

wjr August 30, 2004